# Is There a Functional Relation Between Set Shifting and Hyperactivity in Children With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder?

Irwin, L. N., Moltisanti, A., Wells, E. L., Soto, E. F., Ferretti, N., & Kofler, M. J. Department of Psychology, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida

#### Introduction Method

• The phenotypic behavioral presentation of ADHD

**Participants** 

**Results** 

**Shift Costs** 

Figure 1. A sample trial from the Global-Local task (A), Global-Global task (B), and Local-Local task (C).



may be driven by deficits in executive function(s) (Barkley, 1997; Rapport et al., 2009)

Set Shifting is a core executive function (EF) involving the ability to flexibly shift back and forth between tasks or mental sets (Miyake et al., 2012)

## **Set Shifting in ADHD**

- Meta-analysis suggests that set shifting may be impaired in ADHD (d = 0.46-0.55; Willcutt et al., 2005)
- Evidence for a relation lacksquarebetween this impairment

- 8-13 year old children
  - Carefully diagnosed ADHD
- ADHD (n = 43) vs. Non-ADHD (n = 30)

#### Tasks

- *Global-Local* Set Shifting Global-Global – Control 1
  - Controls for ADHD-related impairments on choice response tasks (Kofler et al., 2013)
- *Local-Local* Control 2
  - Controls for inhibition demands due to prepotent fixation on global (relative to local) stimulus features (Poirel et al., 2011)

 $\bullet$ 

- 2x3 ANOVA revealed that the experimental manipulation was successful (task main effect, p < .001,  $\omega^2 = 0.19$ )
- Post-hoc comparisons:
  - Global-Local task elicited greater shift costs than the control conditions (Global-Global, *p* < .001; Local-local, p < .001)
  - Global-Global elicited greater shift costs than Local-Local (p = .04)

### Hyperactivity

• 2x3 ANOVA revealed a significant main effect of

and ADHD behavioral symptoms is limited

#### **Current Study**

- We experimentally evaluated the relation between set shifting demands and activity level in children with and without ADHD
- We hypothesized that set shifting demands would elicit significantly greater levels of activity in the ADHD group compared to the Non-ADHD group

**Activity Level** 

- Basic Motionlogger ® actigraphs (Ambulatory Monitoring, 2004)
- Sampled activity 16 times per second during each task
- 3 sites: 2 ankle, 1 nondominant hand

# **Dependent Variables**

- Shift  $cost = RT_{shift} RT_{no-shift}$ 
  - Total Hyperactivity Scores (THS) = summing activity level across three actigraph sites

Figure 2. A graph of total mean shift costs for both groups during the Global-Global, Local-Local, and Global-Local tasks (manipulation check).

task ( $p = .005, \omega^2 = 0.02$ ). Imposed set shifting demands significantly increased THS.

- No main effect of group (p = .09)
- No significant group x task interaction (p = .56)
- Manipulation did not disproportionally increase hyperactivity in ADHD

# Conclusion

- These results indicate that set shifting demands increase activity level in children
- Set shifting demands do not differentially affect children with ADHD
- Set shifting is unlikely to play an etiological role in eliciting/maintaining hyperactive behavior in ADHD

Figure 3. A graph of Total Hyperactivity Scores (THS) for the ADHD and Non-ADHD groups during the Global-Global, Local-Local, and Global-Local tasks.

#### **Total Hyperactivity Scores (THS)**

